| Measure | Poverty Rates (Average poverty rate is 41\%) | District Typeology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Standards | All districts with $80 \%+$ of poverty got Fs. $80 \%$ of the wealthiest two quintiles got As. $95 \%$ of the lowest poverty quintile got As. Of the 96 districts that have more than $60 \%$ poverty, only 3 got As. No district with less than $40 \%$ poverty got Fs. More As were given than any other grade. <br> Standards Met by Poverty Rate | Only 1 Major Urban did not get an F, and it got a D. $39 \%$ of As were in suburban districts. $83 \%$ of Fs were in urbans. Every wealthy suburban gets an A or B. <br> Standards by District Type |
| Performance Index | Nearly $90 \%$ of the As are in the districts with the lowest Poverty rates. No Fs. Only one D in any district below $60 \%$ poverty. No As until middle $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ of districts (40$60 \%$ ). $92 \%$ of all grades are B or C. More than $1 / 2$ of all Ds are in districts with highest poverty rates, and 18 of the 19 are in poorest two quintiles. | $59 \%$ of As are in the wealthiest Suburban Districts. $56 \%$ of Ds are in Major Urbans. $2 / 3$ of Major Urbans get <br> Ds. Other third get Cs. Only 3 Cs in Suburban districts, wealthy and wealthiest. Nearly $60 \%$ of poorest rural districts get Bs, rest Cs. No Ds. |



|  | Lower poverty rates bring lower rates of Fs. However, not true on As. Districts at $60 \%-80 \%$ of poverty have higher rates of As than districts between $20 \%-60 \%$ poverty. However, they have double the rate of Fs. | Over $1 / 2$ of Major Urbans got C or better. $38 \%$ of all As in suburban districts; $28 \%$ in high or very high poverty districts. Nearly $80 \%$ of districts got C or better $-42 \%$ got Cs. More than $1 / 2$ of all Fs came from high and very |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disabled VAM | Disabled Value Added by Poverty Rate | VAM Disabled by District Type |
| Bottom 20\% VAM | Only category where the highest poverty rate districts have higher percentages f As than the lowest poverty rate districts. The highest poverty rate districts also have higher percentages of Fs than the lowest poverty rate districts. The highest percentage rate of As is among the $60 \%-80 \%$ poverty rate districts. Only 4 of 87 As came from the lowest poverty rate districts. <br> Bottom 20\% Value Added by Poverty Rate | Majority of As are not in suburban districts. Nearly the same percentage of As come from the high and very high poverty districts as come from the suburban districts. $59 \%$ of Fs come from high and very high poverty |



|  | 20 of 24 Fs Are in districts with greater than $60 \%$ poverty. $75 \%$ of As are in districts with less than average poverty rates. Only 5 of 59 Ds and Fs are in districts with below average poverty rates. $93 \%$ of below average poverty get As | $87 \%$ of all Fs in urban districts. $2 / 3$ of major urbans get Fs. No suburbans get Fs. No wealthiest suburbans get Ds either. $38 \%$ of As in suburban districts. $14 \%$ in high or very high poverty districts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { Rate }}{\text { Five-Year Grad }}$ | Five-Year Graduation Rates by Poverty Rate |  |
| 2011-2012 Report Card | Only 1 district with below average poverty rates got a C (CI), and none got Ds or Fs. $99.7 \%$ of districts below poverty got As or Bs, with $85 \%$ of those being A or A+. All Fs were in districts with highest poverty rates. And only 19 of 386 As given went to districts with poverty rates greater than $60 \%$. | $98 \%$ of the richest districts get A or $\mathrm{A}+$. All but 1 D or F district is high or very high poverty. <br> $2011-2012$ Report Card Ratings by District Type |

